

## APPENDIX 1

### SCHEDULE OF SPECIAL URGENCY / URGENT IMPLEMENTATION DECISIONS – 2013/14

REPORT TITLE/DECISION SUMMARY	DATE AND MEMBER WHO AGREED TO SPECIAL URGENCY / URGENT IMPLEMENTATION	REASON FOR URGENCY	DATE DECISION AGREED
<p>Gateway 3 Contract Variation – Anchor Trust Contract for the provision of residential care homes.</p> <p>The leader of the council agreed the contract variation.</p>	<p>18.3.2013 (Special Urgency)</p> <p>Councillor Catherine Bowman, Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee</p>	<p>It was important that the decision was taken by the 19 March to enable the decision to be implemented in the current financial year following the end of the scrutiny call-in period, as budget provision had been made for the early repayment of capital in 2012/13 financial year.</p> <p>If the decision was delayed there was a significant risk that the revenue savings of £936.000 for 2013/14 would not be achieved and that the council would not have been able to secure the cumulative revenue savings of over £11million over the remaining term of the contract.</p>	<p>20.3.2013</p>
<p>Setting fees and charges for Burgess Park BMX track</p> <p>The cabinet member for transport, environment</p>	<p>31.7.2013 (Urgent Implementation)</p> <p>Councillor Gavin Edwards</p>	<p>The next forward plan related to decisions to be taken from September 2013 onwards. The BMX track was due to open in</p>	<p>2.8.2013</p>

REPORT TITLE/DECISION SUMMARY	DATE AND MEMBER WHO AGREED TO SPECIAL URGENCY / URGENT IMPLEMENTATION	REASON FOR URGENCY	DATE DECISION AGREED
and recycling agreed the proposed non-statutory fees and charges for the Burgess Park BMX track for 2013/14 with an implementation date of 6 August 2013.	Vice Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee	<p>Burgess Park on 6 August 2013 and as such the fees and charges needed to be approved by that date in order that they could be applied once the track was opened.</p> <p>If the decision was to wait for inclusion in the next forward plan it would have meant that there would be a period of time from the 6 August 2013 where fees and charges could not be applied which would have equated to a loss of approximately £2,000.</p>	
<p>Scrap Metal Dealers Act 2013 – Fees &amp; Charges</p> <p>The leader of the council agreed the schedule of fees and charges in respect of applications for licences under the Scrap metal Dealers Act 2013.</p>	<p>26.9.2013 (Urgent Implementation)</p> <p>Councillor Catherine Bowman, Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee</p>	<p>If the council had not set fees and charges by 1 October 2013, there was some debate as to whether the council would have retained the right to make a charge for a licence as of day 1 of the new process and/or potential for claims against the council if existing operators were unable to apply for a licence to continue their trade within the window provided.</p>	27.9.2013

REPORT TITLE/DECISION SUMMARY	DATE AND MEMBER WHO AGREED TO SPECIAL URGENCY / URGENT IMPLEMENTATION	REASON FOR URGENCY	DATE DECISION AGREED
		If the council was not in a position to charge licence fees through the transitional period this would have resulted in a potential loss of around £5000.	
<p>Waiving of car parking charges on Small Business Saturday (7 December 2013)</p> <p>The cabinet member for transport, environment and recycling agreed to the waiving of all car parking charges across the whole of the borough on Small Business Saturday (Saturday 7 December).</p>	<p>4.12.2013 (Urgent Implementation)</p> <p>Councillor Catherine Bowman, Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee</p>	<p>On 27 November 2013 council assembly considered a Motion on ("Small Business Saturday"). As part of the discussion around the motion it was announced that the car parking charges relating to high streets across the borough should be waived in support of small business Saturday taking place on 7 December 2013.</p> <p>Under the council's constitution decisions in relation to the setting of parking fees and charges are delegated to the cabinet member for transport, environment and recycling. The decision therefore needed to be taken through the cabinet member individual decision making process. The timescales for the individual decision making process relating to notice of decision and scrutiny process</p>	5.12.2013

REPORT TITLE/DECISION SUMMARY	DATE AND MEMBER WHO AGREED TO SPECIAL URGENCY / URGENT IMPLEMENTATION	REASON FOR URGENCY	DATE DECISION AGREED
		<p>needed to be waived to enable the required decision to take effect by Saturday 7 December.</p> <p>Agreement was therefore sought for the decision to be processed via the urgent implementation process which enabled the decision to be taken and implemented in time for Small Business Saturday.</p>	
<p>Representation to proposed Northern Line Extension (NLE)</p> <p>The cabinet member for transport, environment and recycling agreed to the formal withdrawal of the council's objections to the London Underground (Northern Line Extension) Order served upon the council by Transport for London ("TfL") on the 30 April 2013, with the exception of the ground of objection concerning the disapplication of street works.</p>	<p>19.12.2013 (Urgent Implementation)</p> <p>Councillor Catherine Bowman, Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee</p>	<p>The council was scheduled to appear at the Public Inquiry into the NLE on 19 December 2013. Therefore, the decision had to be taken by the cabinet member prior to this date to avoid an unnecessary appearance and to prevent any further costs being incurred by the council. The public inquiry programme officer and TfL also needed to be informed so that further costs were not incurred by these parties which could of potentially lead to the council being subject to a costs claim.</p>	<p>19.12.2013</p>

REPORT TITLE/DECISION SUMMARY	DATE AND MEMBER WHO AGREED TO SPECIAL URGENCY / URGENT IMPLEMENTATION	REASON FOR URGENCY	DATE DECISION AGREED
<p>Cleaner Greener Safer Revenue 2014/15 (All community councils)  Cleaner Greener Safer Capital 2014/15 (All community councils)</p> <p>The community councils agreed the cleaner, greener safer, revenue and capital allocations.</p>	<p>30.01.2014  (Special Urgency)</p> <p>Councillor Catherine Bowman,  Chair of Overview and Scrutiny  Committee</p>	<p>The allocations needed to be made before 1 April 2014, the next round of community council meetings were not until March / April 2014. It was also necessary to make funding decisions so projects could commence from April 2014.</p>	<p>Bermondsey and Rotherhithe Community Council  29.01.2014</p> <p>Dulwich Community Council  29.01.2014</p> <p>Borough, Bankside and Walworth Community Council  01.02.2014</p> <p>Camberwell Community Council  12.02.2014</p> <p>Peckham and Nunhead Community Council  12.02.2014</p>

REPORT TITLE/DECISION SUMMARY	DATE AND MEMBER WHO AGREED TO SPECIAL URGENCY / URGENT IMPLEMENTATION	REASON FOR URGENCY	DATE DECISION AGREED
<p>Neighbourhood Planning – Application for a Neighbourhood Area for Elephant and Walworth</p> <p>The cabinet member for regeneration and corporate strategy noted the application from the Elephant and Walworth Neighbourhood Forum for the designation of the Elephant and Walworth Neighbourhood Development Area and agreed to the application be publicised.</p>	<p>26.2.2014 (Urgent Implementation)</p> <p>Councillor Catherine Bowman, Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee</p>	<p>In order to progress the Neighbourhood Planning process the application needed to be publicised in accordance with Regulation 6 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. A period of at least 6 weeks (from the date of first publication) was required for the receipt of representations in relation to the application.</p> <p>In order to avoid any part of the 6 week consultation taking place during the pre-election period, the decision needed to be taken prior to Monday 3 March 2013. Under Regulation 6 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 the council has a statutory duty to consult as soon as possible after receiving an area application from a relevant body.</p> <p>If the decision could not be taken before Monday 3 March, it would have lead to a significant delay in the Neighbourhood Planning</p>	<p>27.02.2014</p>

REPORT TITLE/DECISION SUMMARY	DATE AND MEMBER WHO AGREED TO SPECIAL URGENCY / URGENT IMPLEMENTATION	REASON FOR URGENCY	DATE DECISION AGREED
		<p>process. The consultation would have either needed to be commenced after the elections or split in two parts, the first part ending prior to the commencement of the pre-election period and the second part starting after the elections. Either would have resulted in a significant delay and may have resulted in a non-determination challenge from the applicant.</p>	